

**Can you verify that something exists outside of your experience of it?**

**Using what?**

**Other people's experiences?  
Can you verify that something exists outside of people's experiences then?**

**Ancertainty: The idea that you can never be ontologically correct and should instead base your ideas on epistemological considerations, regardless of whether there is an ultimate truth or what it is.**

Why I am a non-materialist...  
Materialism requires you to believe that some things are absolutely impossible. It could be that some things are impossible. But we can never know if we do not really try. And nobody ever expends any effort in that which they do not really believe in. Materialism is defeatist. It is fatalism. That is why it is so gratifying, like so much else defeatism and fatalism in the world. Because it frees us from responsibility. With full responsibility comes the necessity of belief in all possible outcomes. And so one must weed out any and all ideologies that make things seem impossible. Belief in the impossible makes it so, whether it is or not.

Transmergence...

Transcendence is to attain a higher state of being. But what if we already have? What if there is a higher self, a player if you will, on the other side of the screen in which our games are played? What if the point of the game is to break down the walls between the realm of these worlds?

The world of No Form (players, higher self) and the world of All Form (us, avatars). Perhaps we are not meant to transcend but to merge with that self and become whole.

Maybe. Kinda. Sorta.

**Reasons that post-scarcity is a necessary precondition of a totally free, peaceful and prosperous society. There are no wars over resources you can conjure up in your own home and have printed into whatever products you desire. And the current questions about land ownership become mostly obsolete.**

Most people could not have fathomed the internet in 1988, even though it was already existing in some form. Ten years later, almost everyone understood it somewhat and twenty years later we didn't know how we could ever live without it. It's 1988 for post-scarcity tech, my friends.

**CAN YOU VALIDATE SOMETHING APART FROM YOUR OWN EXPERIENCES WHICH PERTAIN TO IT?**

**UNLESS YOU'VE FIGURED OUT A WAY TO SET YOURSELF APART FROM YOUR OWN CONSCIOUS EXPERIENCE AND DESTROY YOUR OWN SENTIENCE THUS OBLITERATING YOUR OWN PERCEPTIVE ABILITIES (THE VERY ABILITIES THAT YOU RELY ON TO HELP YOU VALIDATE THINGS.. OBSERVATION, ANALYSIS, CRITICAL THINKING, ETC) .. THE ANSWER THAT MAKES THE MOST SENSE IS "NO". SOME REALLY DO BELIEVE THEY CAN DO THIS THOUGH, AND WE'VE DECIDED TO CALL THAT INACCURATE BELIEF THE "EXTERNAL-EXPERIENCE DELUSION".**

*Questions regarding the nature of reality are intrinsic to any ideology or argument. Every argument entails that there is consistency within the chain of premises from top to bottom. And among the arguments and ideologies of materialists and objectivists this consistency can never exist.*